



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	States Patent and Trademark Office
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,557	01/12/2001	John Lee Barry	04148P013	9690
8791	7590 01/05/2004	EXAMINER		
	SOKOLOFF TAYLO	TORRES, JOSEPH D		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SI LOS ANGELES, CA 90025		EVENTITIEOOK /	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2133	
			DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/759,557	BARRY ET AL.	(			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
-,		Joseph D. Torres	2133				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	ie correspondence address				
THE! - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg- period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communic ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 281	November 2003.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-3,6,9-18,21,24-30 and 49 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-3,6,9-18,21,24-30 and 49 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠  11)□  Priority ∪  12)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on 12 January 2001 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ender 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified C	e: a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) is examiner. Note the attached Of an priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 ats have been received.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 fice Action or form PTO-152 9(a)-(d) or (f).				
13)⊠ A si 3 a 14)□ A re	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first CFR 1.78.  The translation of the foreign language pracknowledgment is made of a claim for domest eference was included in the first sentence of the top of the foreign language pracknowledgment is made of a claim for domest eference was included in the first sentence of the top of the foreign language pracknowledgment is made of a claim for domest efference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language pracknowledgment is made of a claim for domest efference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language.	ority documents have been recau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  t of the certified copies not recatic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 rest sentence of the specification rovisional application has been tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	eived in this National Stage eived.  19(e) (to a provisional application Data structure)  received.  120 and/or 121 since a spec	cation) Sheet. cific			
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-30 and 49 in Paper No.
 8 is acknowledged.

## **Drawings**

2. The drawings were received on 12 January 2001. These drawings are accepted, in view of Amendment A in Paper No. 8.

## Claim Objections

3. Claims 45-50 are objected to because of the following informalities: In the original application, the Applicant used the number 45 to number claims twice. The original application was corrected so that the claims were numbered consecutively from 1 to 50. Newly amended claims of Paper No. 8 use the number 45 to number claims twice. Newly amended claims of Paper No. 8 were corrected so that the claims are numbered consecutively from 1 to 50. The Examiner asserts that claim 50 (numbered as 49 by the Applicant) was restricted out and withdrawn from examination since it belongs to Group II and has since been canceled by the Applicant. Claim 49 (numbered as 48 by the Applicant) is still pending in the case. Note: Claims 4, 5, 7, 8, 19, 20, 22, 23, 31-44, 45, 45, 46, 47 and 49 by the Applicant) are were cancelled by the Applicant so that only claims 1-3, 6,

9-18, 21, 24-30 and 49 (claim 49 is labeled as claim 48 by the Applicant in Amendment A of Paper No. 8) remain pending.

The Examiner would like to point out that that pending claim 49 (claim 49 is labeled as claim 48 by the Applicant in Amendment A of Paper No. 8) was not amended but labeled as withdrawn. Since claim 49 was not amended and claims 1-30 were amended to claim a new invention, claims 49 and claims 1-30 are no longer part of the same invention.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-3, 6, 9-18, 21, 24-30, drawn to Test Pattern Generation for a
     Video Display, classified in class 714, subclass 738.
  - II. Claim 49, drawn to Method for Manufacturing a Test Circuit to Functionally Verify a Circuit, classified in class 716, subclass 4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I, Test Pattern Generation for a Video Display, and Group II, Method for Manufacturing a Test Circuit to Functionally Verify a Circuit, are unrelated.

Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions

testing a circuit and generating test patterns for a video display are entirely two different operations for testing in entirely two different test environments.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in

the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph D. Torres whose telephone number is (703) 308-7066. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on (703) 305-9595. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-746-7240.

Joseph D. Torres, PhD Art Unit 2133